

D12.1 Composition of Advisory Groups and Advisory Board

Document identification		Reference	SCR-WP12-D-UTP-001
Related SP / WP	SP1 / WP12	Dissemination Level	PU
Related Deliverable	/	Lead Author	Lara Isasa
Lead Participant	UITP	Reviewers	EOS, THALES, STA
Contributors	YvA, LiB, Lal, DeL (UITP), FrC (UNIFE), PhM, [ST(SI) ²]		

This document is issued in the frame and for the purpose of SECUR-ED project. This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 261605.

This document and its contents are the property of SECUR-ED Partners. All rights relevant to this document are determined by the applicable laws. Access to this document does not grant any right or license on the document or its contents. This document or its contents are not to be used or treated in any manner inconsistent with the rights or interests of SECUR-ED Partners or to their detriment and are not to be disclosed externally without prior written consent from SECUR-ED Partners. Each SECUR-ED Partner may use this document in conformity with SECUR-ED Consortium Agreement provisions.



Document name:	D12.1 Composition of Advisory Groups and Advisory Board				Page 1 of 22
Reference:	SCR-WP12-D-UTP-001	Dissemination:	PU	Version :	9
		Status :	Issued		



History

NB: a **status** is associated to each step of the document lifecycle:

- **Draft:** this version is under development by one or several partner(s);
- **Under review:** this version has been sent for review;
- **Issued:** this version of the document has been submitted to EC.

Version	Status	Date	Author	Main Changes
0.1	Draft	22/06/11	L. Isasa	1 st Draft
1	Draft	04/7/11	L. Isasa	Following WP12 meeting 24.06.11
2	Draft	11/9/11	L. Isasa	Includes list of AG members, suggested topics for discussion, UITP-AG Member contract template
3	Under review	31/10/11	L. Isasa	Final version for Peer Review - Updated list of AG members and text changes marked in track change
4	Under review	9/11/11	L. Isasa	Final version after Peer Review with EOS and UIS to be uploaded in the coop tool and sent to the EC
5	Under review	10/11/11	L. Isasa	Final version including Thales and final EOS written comments
6	Under review	20/11/11	L. Isasa	Final version including modifications in the Ethical AG mandate, agreed with THALES, UIS and EOS
7	Under review	01/12/11	L. Isasa	Reviewed version sent to Thales for final validation
8	Issued	02/12/11	THA	Version submitted to EC
9	Issued	19/12/11	L. Isasa	List of AG Members updated



TABLE OF CONTENTS

History	2
1 Abstract	5
2 References	6
2.1 List of acronyms	6
2.2 Referenced documents	6
3 Advisory Groups (AGs): description and rules	7
3.1 General objective	7
3.2 Members	7
3.3 Other participants.....	8
3.4 Methodology	8
3.5 Governance	8
3.6 Meeting organisation	9
3.7 Indicative meeting schedule	9
3.8 Reimbursement of costs.....	9
3.9 Communication	9
4 AG Public Transport Operators & Authorities (PTOA)	10
4.1 Mandate	10
4.2 Specific methodology	11
4.3 Members	11
4.4 Chairman and Secretariat.....	11
5 AG Law Enforcement and First Responders (LEFR)	12
5.1 Mandate	12
5.2 Members	13
5.3 Chairman and Secretariat.....	13
6 AG Industry (IND)	14
6.1 Mandate	14
6.2 Members	14
6.3 Chairman & Secretariat	14
7 AG Ethical and Societal issues (ETH)	15
7.1 Mandate	15
7.2 Specific methodology	15
7.3 Members	16
7.4 Chairman and Secretariat.....	16
8 Advisory Board: description and rules	17
8.1 Mandate	17
8.2 Specific methodology	17
8.3 Members	18



8.4	Rules.....	18
8.5	Chairman & Secretariat	18
9	List of Annexes	19
10	Annex 1 : List of Advisory Groups members with contact details (Updated on 31.10.11).....	20
10.1	Public Transport Operators & Authorities	20
10.2	Law Enforcement & 1 st Responders (LEFR).....	21
10.3	Industry	21
10.4	Ethical & societal issues.....	22
10.5	Advisory Board.....	22
11	Annex 1A : Template UITP contract with AG members . Error! Bookmark not defined.	
12	Annex 1B : Bank Identification Form Error! Bookmark not defined.	
13	Annex 1C : Experts reimbursement form Error! Bookmark not defined.	



1 Abstract

The main objective of this document is to define the composition, the mandate and the working procedure of the four SECUR-ED Advisory Groups and the Advisory Board,

The objective of SECUR-ED is to give elements to enhance the way the various stakeholders, and mainly the transport operators, are managing the security of their operations.

In order to achieve this goal, a strong link with the community of the various stakeholders is needed. This is the way to ensure that real needs are taken into account by the project. Beyond the SECUR-ED partners, four Advisory Groups have been identified:

- Public Transport Operators and Authorities
- Law Enforcement and First Responders
- Industry
- Ethical and Societal issues

The overall goal is to receive feedback from these various Advisory Groups and to provide this information to the consortium and to the Advisory Board in order to improve acceptance of the SECUR-ED proposals to the widest possible range of stakeholders outside the consortium, therefore contributing to the European added value.

Document name:	D12.1 Composition of Advisory Groups and Advisory Board				Page 5 of 22		
Reference:	SCR-WP12-D-UTP-001	Dissemination:	PU	Version :	9	Status :	Issued



2 References

2.1 List of acronyms

AB	Advisory Board
AG	Advisory Group
EU	European Union
PTO	Public Transport Operator
PTOA	Public Transport Operators and Authorities
RAILPOL	European Network of Railway Police Forces
SECUR-ED	Secured Urban Transportation – European Demonstration
WP	Work Package

2.2 Referenced documents

The following documents are referenced

- R[1] Grant Agreement n° 261605 – 2011-01 -20
- R[2] IFM (Interoperable Fare Management) Project, Consensus paper on privacy in transport IFM applications (Deliverable 2.2, version 4.3. December 2009).



3 Advisory Groups (AGs): description and rules

3.1 General objective

In order to guarantee the necessary collaboration between the relevant stakeholders involved in Urban Transportation security , and to promote consensus around the SECUR-ED project results, several Advisory Groups are set up to accompany the project throughout its whole lifetime. These Advisory Groups are the following:

- Public Transport Operators and Authorities
- Law Enforcement and First Responders
- Industry
- Ethical and Societal issues

They must provide guidance to the SECUR-ED demonstration project, in order to guarantee the short term applicability of the proposed security measures: scalability, impact on operations, compliance, variety of PTOs situations, relevance of scenarios and related procedures, between others.

The Advisory Groups will advise the project taking into consideration:

- an integrated security approach based on risk assessment, adapted to the situation and integrating a suitable set of security measures group in three interlinked pillars: the human factor, procedures and technology,
- the interest of the different stakeholders and
- the protection of privacy and individual rights of Public Transport clients.

The Advisory Groups will have regular meetings (2 per year). The subject of the meetings will be defined according to the on-going project activities, and must reach the objective to increase consensus and short term applicability.

3.2 Members

Each group will be constituted of 8 to 20 **Members** selected by WP12 on the basis of their CV and letter of commitment. They are then confirmed members by the European Commission Project Officer. WP12 can adapt the list of members according to the project developments or Advisory Board requests.

Membership of the Advisory Groups is nominative. A contract, including a confidentiality clause, will be signed between the Advisory Group member and the WP12 Leader (UITP). No substitutes are accepted in the meetings except in exceptional cases and with at least two weeks advance notice, with the substitute being approved by the WP12 Leader.

Members of the Advisory Group will approve the Advisory Group mandate in its first meeting.



3.3 Other participants

On top of the Advisory Group Members, WP12 can decide where appropriate to invite other participants to a meeting when the agenda allows a larger participation and/or there is an interest in doing so (i.e. to better disseminate project information or to find a larger consensus in a determinate subject). These participants can be classified in three types:

- **Members of the consortium:** invited to present their work in the SECUR-ED project
- **Guest observers:** stakeholders that are invited to participate in meetings for the dissemination of project results
- **Guest participants:** for example, guest speakers on a specific topic

3.4 Methodology

WP12 leader will invite Advisory Group members, according to an agenda proposed by WP12 and shared with the SECUR-ED partners.

The meeting agenda will always include an update on the project progress and issues requested by the Advisory Groups members on the basis of the AG mandate, according to the project developments. SECUR-ED SP and WP leaders are invited to contact WP12 leader whenever a SECUR-ED task or deliverable needs to be discussed with an Advisory Group.

The AGs will be chaired by the “AG representative towards the Advisory Board” (See chapter 3.5).

SECUR-ED project partners will be invited to provide and present documents for discussion and to present the project update, at the beginning of each meeting. AG members will be invited to individually give comments to the presentations and documents received.

The AG chairman will summarise the meeting conclusions and recommendations to the project. Individual points of view will also be recorded in the meeting minutes.

3.5 Governance

The AGs will be chaired by the “AG representative towards the Advisory Board” selected from among the members of the group by the members and the SECUR-ED WP12. The “AG Representative towards the Advisory Board” will approve the Draft Agenda and Draft Minutes before they are circulated to the AGs Members. The “AG representative towards the Advisory Board” will report on the Advisory Group meeting results to the Advisory Board.

A SECUR-ED WP12 member will co-ordinate each of the groups. The AG coordinator with the support of WP12 leader will:

- invite the AG members and send the draft meeting agenda (30 days before the meeting)
- send meeting documents (7 days before the meeting)
- ensure that the minutes are available (15 days after the meeting).

WP12 Leader (UITP) and the SECUR-ED Co-ordinator (Thales) will be invited to participate in all meetings.

Document name:	D12.1 Composition of Advisory Groups and Advisory Board				Page 8 of 22
Reference:	SCR-WP12-D-UTP-001	Dissemination:	PU	Version :	9
		Status :	Issued		



3.6 Meeting organisation

WP 12 will be organising up to six meetings of each of the Advisory Groups during the project lifetime (1/04/2011-30/09/14). The structure of the meetings may allow for joint meetings of the different AGs. These joint meetings will be referred hereafter as “Plenary Meetings”. Conference calls of the individual AGs can also be organised, when necessary.

3.7 Indicative meeting schedule

See below a suggested meeting schedule that could be revised according to project progress and milestones:

2011 Autumn (Brussels)

2012 Spring Plenary (M12)

2012 Autumn (Brussels) (With mid-term project conference)

2013 Spring Plenary (Geneva) (M24 beginning of SP4 demonstrations)

2013 Autumn (During SP4 demonstrations)

2014 Spring (M36 After demonstrations).

3.8 Reimbursement of costs

Advisory Group **Members** and **Guests participants** will have their travel, hotel and subsistence costs reimbursed from WP12 budget upon presentation of receipts (Maximum of 800 Euro per meeting. Economy class travel and accommodation). **Members’** of the Consortium will have their costs reimbursed under their SECUR-ED project budget. **Guest observers** and EC representatives or EC experts will not be reimbursed.

In exceptional cases, WP12 could decide on a daily allowance, following EC rules, for AG experts preparing an important contribution to an AG meeting.

3.9 Communication

General information about the Advisory Groups is provided in the project website: <http://www.secur-ed.eu>

The AG Coordinator will be the main contact for the AG Members and will be responsible for sending meeting agendas and documents to Members and other meeting participants.

AG Members documents and meeting information will be stored in the UITP electronic library (Mobi+) to be accessed via the following website: <http://www.uitp.org> with a password.

Access to this tool will be granted as soon as the membership in the group has been approved by the EC and a confidentiality agreement has been signed.



4 AG Public Transport Operators & Authorities (PTOA)

4.1 Mandate

- Provide advice for project proposals and results to be in line with the Public Transport Operators and Authorities needs, therefore facilitating the acceptance and the European added value of the project.
- Comment on selected SECUR-ED project issues and deliverables before, during and after Advisory Group meetings (up to 2 meetings per year).

See below a tentative list of topics that could be discussed during the meetings:

Topics	Description
Collection of best practices/state of the art	Current security practices
	Preparedness
	Current security solutions
Security tools, methods, procedures, guidelines	Security terminology
	Risk assessment
	Security approach
	Security audit
	Protection of passenger facilities
	Emergency and crisis preparedness
	Decision-making
	Infrastructure protection
Training	Checklist of suitable training formats
	Security awareness
	Emergency and crisis preparedness
	Security employees
	OCC staff
	Organisation & Management
Policies, regulation, technical guidelines and standardisation	Security and privacy policies
	Technical recommendations
Other	Video analytics for the PT environment
	Risks, security gaps and potential solutions for a mid-sized city



Members of this AG will be candidates to do a risk assessment exercise in their companies (under WP52) and host two satellite demonstrations (under WP53). Small and medium sized cities from new EU Member States will be prioritised.

Members of the AG can be invited to peer review project deliverables.

4.2 Specific methodology

The Public Transport Operators Advisory Group will facilitate the transferability of project results all around Europe. For this reason, and to facilitate very specific project information needs, this Advisory Group will organise, on top of official meetings, regular conference calls, to which project partners will be invited according to topic.

4.3 Members

You will find in the Annex 2 (Update 30.11.11) the composition of this Advisory Group.

On top of the AG Members, the following companies will be “Guest observers” in this group and might be invited to attend the meetings:

- Other PTO or Authorities from the UITP Security Commission or wider UITP membership;
- PTO national associations,
- The International Union of Railways (UIC) Security Platform;
- The European Infrastructure Managers (EIM);
- Other... agreed in the SECUR-ED WP12.

SECUR-ED partners who are Operators and Authorities from main demonstrations and satellite demonstrations will also be invited to participate in these meetings and exchange information and report on their own experiences.

4.4 Chairman and Secretariat

AG representative towards the Advisory Board: Thomas Kritzer, Wiener Linen (thomas.kritzer@wienerlinien.at).

Coordinator: Lindsey Barr, UITP (lindsey.barr@uitp.org).



5 AG Law Enforcement and First Responders (LEFR)

5.1 Mandate

- Provide advice for project proposals and results to be in line with the real practices of law enforcement agencies, police, fire brigades and medical authorities involved in prevention and first interventions during security operations.
- Comment on selected SECUR-ED project issues and deliverables before, during and after Advisory Group meetings (up to 2 meetings per year).

The main objective will be to identify important functions, commonalities and differences in the countries public transport security organisation structure and identify replicability of Law Enforcement and First Responders operations.

See below a tentative list of topics that could be discussed during the meetings:

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Description</u>
Collection of best practices/state of the art	Current security practices, emergency arrangements and planning
Security tools, methods, procedures, guidelines	How to improve security and privacy
	Public transport security terminology, glossary, common terms and definitions
	Security approach for operations and emergency arrangements and planning
	Simulation models
	The protection of passengers facilities
	The protection of line of route infrastructure (tracks, tunnels and bridges), depots
	Handbook for Emergency and crisis preparedness
	Decision support model and system
Training	Training Organisation and Management
	Security awareness training programmes for front-line employees and passengers
	Emergency and crisis preparedness training programmes
	Training programmes for security employees
	Training programmes for operators in control centres
	How to improve risk reduction system
	Handbook on Security plan deployment
Privacy	System of systems architecture and guidelines



<u>Topic</u>	<u>Description</u>
	Architecture design for video analytics for the PT environment
	Multi operators data exchange model
	Architecture and Interoperability of Interface Control Documents
CBRN-E	CBRN-E capacities taking into account the demonstrations
	Recommendations on CBRN-E capacities and future developments
Preventive and early intervention	Description of the global threat environment and identified data sources to be observed for the detection in global threat environment

5.2 Members

The Members of this Advisory Group will include between others: the Law Enforcement and First Responders from main demonstrations (Berlin, Madrid , Milan, Paris) and other European cities; security national authorities and COLPOFER, Collaboration of Railway Policy and Security Services.

You will find in the Annex 2 (Update 30.11.11) the composition of this Advisory Group.

ST(SI)² is a SECUR-ED project partner preparing the work in this AG as it is the only project partner representing the Law Enforcement and First Responders and for this reason, a perfectly suited to identify the interest of this group of stakeholders. ST(SI)² will be representing this AG in the project Advisory Board and thus, will be chairing the meetings of this AG.

5.3 Chairman and Secretariat

AG representative towards the Advisory Board: Philippe Manteau, French Ministry of Interior ST(SI)² (phil.manteau@free.fr).

Coordinator: Denis Luyten, UITP (denis.luyten@uitp.org).



6 AG Industry (IND)

6.1 Mandate

- Follow and comment on the work done in the project task (WP41) relating to the identification of standardised interfaces to ensure that “open” and standardised solutions are developed.
- Analyse and provide comments on the scalability of solutions; whether a solution can be scaled up to being used in a small city to being used in a large city; or vice versa.
- Advise on the scenario definition for the satellite demos, at an early stage (after risk assessment) in order to ensure that the newest and most innovative solutions are implemented (AG Industry members will not have access to the results of the Risk Assessment exercises by PTOA as the results are very sensitive information for each PTOA).
- Analyse potential gaps to ensure that the solutions are transferable across different EU countries.
- Assist in developing a template for a leaflet/handbook which will explain transferability of the solutions demonstrated in each city to other European cities.
- Review and comment selected SECUR-ED project documents and deliverables before and during AG meetings (up to 2 meetings per year) related to the above mentioned topics.

6.2 Members

You will find in the Annex 2 (Update 30.11.11) the composition of this Advisory Group.

6.3 Chairman & Secretariat

AG representative towards the Advisory Board: Ulrik Rasmussen, Rail & Road Protect GmbH (ulrik.rasmussen@r2protec.com).

Coordinator: Franco Cataldo, UNIFE (franco.cataldo@unife.org).

Document name:	D12.1 Composition of Advisory Groups and Advisory Board				Page 14 of 22
Reference:	SCR-WP12-D-UTP-001	Dissemination:	PU	Version :	9
		Status :	Issued		



7 AG Ethical and Societal issues (ETH)

7.1 Mandate

- Provide advice for project proposals and results regarding privacy and individual rights when improving Public Transport security systems.
- Comment on selected SECUR-ED project issues and deliverables before, during and after Advisory Group meetings (up to 2 meetings per year).

The main objective of this group will be to help ensuring that the security solutions to be demonstrated respect privacy and individual rights and are socially acceptable:

- during the project, in the demonstrations;
- after the project, through the recommendations resulting from it (direct application or further developments).

Presentations by SP3/SP4 partners could be requested to start discussion on this area.

As an EU citizen enters a Public Transport system, different functions might need to be considered regarding the impact they could have on its privacy and individual rights. These functions are, between others, the following: Information gathering, ticketing and reservation, passenger accessing the system, passenger using the system, passenger leaving the system and link to other environments.

See below a proposed list of topics suggested in the IFM project [2] that could be discussed during the meetings on the basis of WP11 presentations.

- Transparency, as a duty to inform passenger,
- Fulfilment of transport agreement,
- Anonymity as an option to be offered systematically to the customer,
- Security against misuse of passenger personal data,
- Responsibility for privacy,
- Limits for marketing and research,
- Retention period of personal data,
- Rights of passenger to know,
- Complaint procedures.

To conclude, each SECUR-ED demonstration (WP42, WP43, WP44 and WP45) will ensure compliance with national and European legislation. Demonstration WP leaders will present the process they will use to protect personal data to this AG.

7.2 Specific methodology

To ensure compliance with the expectations of society, project information and results will be checked against the following items:

- Legal and regulatory framework
- Ethical overview (in order to meet European Commission's requirements)

Document name:	D12.1 Composition of Advisory Groups and Advisory Board				Page 15 of 22
Reference:	SCR-WP12-D-UTP-001	Dissemination:	PU	Version :	9
		Status :			Issued



- Adaptation of measures to local conditions (Societal appropriateness and integration into different cultures)
- Societal impact of outcomes
- Acceptance of security measures

A range of existing checklists for ethical behaviour and risk assessment could be used to assess these categories.

This framework will be used to analyse the project results (mainly the four flagship demonstrations) and develop recommendations that are operationally viable, proportionate to the risk and acceptable for the operators, the customers and the society all around Europe.

Meeting results will be presented to the project Advisory Board, as for other AGs meeting results, and could be also presented to all project partners, for example during a project General Assembly.

7.3 Members

You will find in the Annex 2 (Update 30.11.11) the composition of this Advisory Group.

National Data protection Commissioners (from France, Germany, Italy and Spain – countries hosting the four flagship demonstrations in Paris, Berlin, Milan and Madrid) could be invited to these meetings whenever Demonstration Leaders (SP4) present to this AG the process they will use in the demonstrations to protect personal data.

University of Stavanger (STA), SECUR-ED project partner, will be directly involved in this group as for its previous experience on ethical issues in research projects (SORISK project, Norway).

7.4 Chairman and Secretariat

AG representative towards the Advisory Board: TBC

Coordinator: Lara Isasa, UITP (lara.isasa@uitp.org).





8 Advisory Board: description and rules

8.1 Mandate

Following a European Commission request, the SECUR-ED Consortium has integrated into the project governance structure an Advisory Board with the following objectives:

- give expert advice and recommendations to the project.
- collect the feed-back of the various Advisory Groups.

8.2 Specific methodology

“The role of the AB is to offer strategic guidance in terms of project monitoring and to ensure that the relevant areas of the European Commission are kept informed and involved. Based on the reports of the AGs, the AB will make recommendations on any possible shortcomings in certain areas of the project compared to the Description of Work.

In the first meeting the AB will identify the key topics to be monitored. It could be related to the constitution of the AGs with the involvement of additional stakeholders, to some modification of demonstration scenarios, to some recommendations on the use of innovative solutions, to look at legislation issues, how to select the most suitable additional demonstrations in SP5...

These proposals will feed back into the project to be taken into consideration by the relevant Work-Package and Sub-Project leader, who will then decide if and how the proposal can be addressed, taking into account the framework of the project (budget, objectives, consortium expertise, time, etc). Some proposals could require discussion with the AGs with the support of some consortium experts. The typical AB meeting will consist on: presentation of project status, results of AG meetings, potential open questions for which external advice is needed.

The role of the AB is different from the Project Management Board.

The Project Management Board is driving the project in line with the Description Of Work and in compliance with the signed Grant Agreement. The AB is giving an additional view point, addressing strategic orientations, verifying that the main project goals are in line with European policies, objectives and operational usages. It will allow updating if needed and where possible and reasonable some of the project objectives in line with the EC recommendations, within the constraints of the agreed contractual documents and budget, and within the expertise of the current consortium. “[1]



8.3 Members

The SECUR-ED project Advisory Board will be constituted of around 12 Members proposed by the SECUR-ED project and the European Commission. The AB members will be representatives of the following organisations:

- One representative of the AG Public Transport Operators and Authorities
- One representative of the AG Law Enforcement and First Responders
- One representative of the AG Industry
- One representative of the AG Ethical issues
- One SP4 representative
- One RAILPOL representative
- The SECUR-ED Project Co-ordinator (Thales)
- The SECUR-ED WP12 leader (UITP)
- The Project Officer (European Commission)
- One or two other European Commission representatives
- One or two experts nominated by the European Commission Project Officer

The Project Officer will propose a Chairman for the group to be agreed by all members.

Membership in the Advisory Board is nominative. No substitutes are accepted in the meetings except in exceptional cases a substitute could be accepted by the Chairman with at least 2 weeks' notice.

You will find in the Annex 2 (Update 31.10.11) the composition of the Advisory Board.

8.4 Rules

- The AB Chairman will be proposed by the European Commission Project Officer and agreed by the AB.
- The AB will meet five times during the project for one day meetings. Indicative meeting dates: M4, M12 before project review, M20, M28 before project review, M40.
- Reimbursement of expenses: Members of the AB could get their travel and subsistence costs reimbursed as per Advisory Group rules (see chapter 3.2 and 3.8)
- The SECUR-ED Project Coordinator together with the WP12 Leader will report on the Advisory Board results to the Sub-Project leaders during Project Management Board meetings.

8.5 Chairman & Secretariat

Chairman: Greg Hull (independent expert).

Secretariat: THALES/ UITP.



9 List of Annexes

Following Annexes are available on the Cooperation Tool :

Annex1_List of AG members with contact details (Updated on 31.10.11) (*SCR-WP12-A-UTP-005*)

Annex1A_Template UITP contract with AG members (*SCR-WP12-A-UTP-002*)

Annex1B_Bank Identification Form (*SCR-WP12-A-UTP-003*)

Annex1C_Experts reimbursement form (*SCR-WP12-A-UTP-004*)

Document name:	D12.1 Composition of Advisory Groups and Advisory Board				Page 19 of 22		
Reference:	SCR-WP12-D-UTP-001	Dissemination:	PU	Version :	9	Status :	Issued



10 Annex 1 : List of Advisory Groups members with contact details (Updated on 19.01.12)

10.1 Public Transport Operators & Authorities

Name	Company	Status
BARR Lindsey	UITP - International Association of Public Transport	SECUR-ED
BAJON Arno	Stuttgarter Strassenbahnen AG	MEMBER
COHRS Rainer	SWM - STADWERKE MÜNCHEN	MEMBER
DUNMORE Geoff	London Underground	MEMBER
HENGIRMEN TERCAN Safak	Gaziantep Metropolitan Municipality	MEMBER
HERNANDEZ LEDESMA Eduardo	Metro Bilbao	MEMBER
KRITZER Thomas	Wiener Linien	MEMBER & CHAIRMAN
LAHDENRANTA Matti	Helsinki City Transport	MEMBER
ORTEGA Ricardo	Transporte Metropolitano de Barcelona (TMB)	MEMBER
PALENICA Zbigniew	MPK Tramways	MEMBER
PELARGONIO Pierluigi	ATAC	MEMBER
RUDOLPH Hans Martin	Hamburger Hochbahn	MEMBER
TEIXEIRA PASSOS Alberto	REFER	MEMBER
TROELSEN Lars Hven	Movia	MEMBER
VALENTE Antonio	Metro de Lisboa	MEMBER



10.2 Law Enforcement & 1st Responders (LEFR)

Name	Company	Status
CROITORU Constantin	GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF BUCHAREST POLICE	MEMBER
LUYTEN Denis	UITP - International Association of Public Transport	SECUR-ED
MANTEAU Philippe	ST(SI) ² Ministère de l'Interieur - Service des Technologies et des Systèmes d'Information de la Sécurité Intérieure	SECUR-ED & CHAIRMAN
DU BUS DE WARNAFFE Tanguy	BRUSSELS FIRE BRIGADE	MEMBER
DUBLIES Thomas	BERLIN POLICE	MEMBER
LERAY Annie	PRÉFECTURE DE POLICE - PARIS	MEMBER
SALAUN Philippe	COLPOFER	MEMBER
TUDELA GOÑI D. Medardo	CISEM (Control Centre of the Emergencies and Civil Protection Office of the Madrid City Council)	MEMBER
VAN SLUIJS Willem	PERMANENT REPRESENTATION OF THE NETHERLANDS	MEMBER
XIÉ Sylvain	MINISTERE DE L'ÉCOLOGIE, DE L'ÉNERGIE, DU DÉVELOPPEMENT DURABLE ET DE LA MER	MEMBER

10.3 Industry

Name	Company	Status
CATALDO Franco	UNIFE	SECUR-ED
CLARKE Michael	G4S plc	MEMBER
DANGELMEYR Jürgen	TELEFUNKEN RADIO COMMUNICATION SYSTEM	MEMBER
DESCLAUX Fabrice	ACTIA SODIELEC	MEMBER
GRIMOND Nick	21ST CENTURY TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS LTD.	MEMBER
JELENEK Jiri	VUKV A.S.	MEMBER
MONTOYA Eladio	RAMEM	MEMBER
RASMUSSEN Ulrik Ortiz	RAIL AND ROAD PROTEC GMBH	MEMBER & CHAIRMAN
SOEHNCHEN Andrea	SECURITAS AB – SECURITY SERVICES EUROPE	MEMBER
SOUDANI Karim	EADS-SODERN FRANCE-HEAD OFFICE	MEMBER



10.4 Ethical & societal issues

Name	Company	Status
ISASA Lara	UITP - International Association of Public Transport	SECUR-ED
BASSOLI Elena	STUDIO LEGALE BASSOLI	MEMBER
DUMORTIER Frank	FUNDP - Facultés Universitaires Notre-Dame de la Paix	MEMBER
HILPERT Thomas	VERBAND DEUTSCHER VERKEHRSUNTERNEHMEN	MEMBER
IPHOFEN Ron	INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT	MEMBER & CHAIRMAN
NAWATHE Vinita	EUROPEAN PASSENGERS FEDERATION	MEMBER
WALL Michael	LAW LIBRARY	MEMBER

10.5 Advisory Board

Name	Company	Status
ISASA Lara	UITP - International Association of Public Transport	SECUR-ED
BLONDELLE Johan	EC DG RTD	EC Expert
GONZÁLEZ GARCÍA J. Dionisio	CRTM (Consortio Regional de Transportes de Madrid)	Representative of SP4
HULL Greg	American Public Transport Association	EC Expert & Chairman
IPHOFEN Ron	Expert	Representative of the AG Ethical Issues
KRITZER Thomas	Wiener Linien GmbH & CO KG	Representative of the AG PTOA
KUUKKA Sanna	EC DG ENTERPRISE	EC Expert
LAENE A.J.H.M.(John)	Railpol	Police association
MANTEAU Philippe	ST(SI) ² Ministère de l'Interieur...Direction de la Defense et de la securité civiles (STS)	Representative of the AG LEFR
McCARTY Sadhbh	Centre for Irish and European Security	EC Expert
PERREAL Yves	Thales	SECUR-ED Co-ordinator
RASMUSSEN Ulrik Ortiz	Rail & Road Protec GmbH	Representative of the AG Industry
SORENSEN Ivan	EC DG MOVE	EC Expert